Antinociceptive screening of various 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives in the hot-plate test in mice
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Abstract

Introduction. Despite the large number of analgesic drugs available currently, pain therapy is still a challenging issue for researchers and clinicians. The search for new drugs that could relieve patients from pain is not only justified, but also highly recommended.

Objective. This study aimed to perform antinociceptive screening of 4 various 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives (TPB-2, TPB-4, TPF-32 and TPF-38) in the hot-plate test in mice, which is an experimental model allowing the testing of compounds alleviating acute thermal pain.

Materials and method. Experimental verification of the antinociceptive effects of the tested compounds (administered intraperitoneally in a constant dose of 300 mg/kg) was performed in the hot-plate test in mice, by calculating maximum possible antinociceptive effects (MPAE in %) at 4 various pretreatment times (15, 30, 60 and 120 min.).

Results. TPB-2 exerted strong antinociceptive effects with MPAE ranging between 18.54 – 35.43% in the hot-plate test. Similarly, TPF-32 exerted firmly established antinociceptive effects with MPAE ranging from 13.50 – 37.05%. In the case of TPB-4 and TPF-38, both compounds produced slight changes in MPAE in the hot-plate test in mice. These agents can be classified as virtually ineffective in the hot-plate test.

Conclusions. The screening test revealed that TPB-2 and TPF-32 exerted a clear-cut antinociceptive effect in the hot-plate test in mice. If the results from this study were to be translated to clinical settings, both TPB-2 and TPF-32 might be beneficial drugs for pain relief in humans.
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INTRODUCTION

Experimental evidence indicates that antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) are a very specific group affecting the central nervous system (CNS). In spite of their anticonvulsant properties, the AEDs also exert antidepressant, antiproliferative and analgesic effects in humans [1–4]. The analgesic effects of AEDs are clearly seen during therapy with tiagabine, gabapentin and pregabalin, because these drugs produce both the anticonvulsant and analgesic effects in patients [5, 6].

At present, the search for novel drugs affecting CNS relies on three main methods. The first focuses on the screening of thousands of newly-synthesized compounds in the hope of finding the most promising and efficacious agent [7–9]. The second method is based on structural transformation and chemical modification of the structure of widely used drugs with firmly established properties with respect to their impact on CNS in vivo [10–12]. The changes in chemical structure of currently available drugs are expected to enhance their desired properties. The third method is based on the detection of agents isolated from medicinal plants, which are used by traditional folk medicine to treat some specific illness and diseases [13–15]. All three methods have their opponents and adherents. However, one can also distinguish a fourth method combining all three mentioned-above methods. Thus, isolation of agents from medicinal plants, accompanied by chemical modification of their core structure to enhance their properties, along with preclinical screening of their efficacy, may play a principal role in the search for novel drugs affecting CNS.

Quite recently, a novel group of compounds (i.e., 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives) has gained attention as potential anticonvulsant drugs in preclinical studies [16–23]. Molecular studies have revealed that agents comprising the 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione structure exerted anticonvulsant effects by affecting GABA_A receptors and blocking sodium channels in neurons [18, 21, 22]. On the other hand, drugs influencing GABA_A receptors and blocking sodium channels possess the antinociceptive properties in both preclinical studies and clinical settings [24–28]. Previously, it has been demonstrated that some 4-substituted derivatives of 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(morpholin-4-ylmethyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione produced the antinociceptive effects in the hot-plate test in mice [29].

Considering the above-mentioned facts, it was of importance to conduct preclinical screening to discover whether or not some other 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives...
produce antinociceptive properties in mice subjected to the hot-plate test, which is considered a model of acute thermal pain in experimental studies on animals.

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

Screening of the antinociceptive effects of four various 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives was conducted on adult male Swiss mice (weighing 22 – 26 g), maintained under standardized housing and laboratory conditions. Each experimental group in the screening test comprised four randomly selected mice. Experimental procedures involving animals were approved by the Local Ethics Committee and complied with the ARRIVE guidelines and EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments. Only 64 mice were used in the screening study.

Four various 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives [5-[(3-chlorophenyl)ethyl]-4-(n-butyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione (TPB-2), 5-[(3-chlorophenyl)ethyl]-4-(n-hexyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione (TPB-4), 4-(n-butyl)-5-[(3-fluorophenyl)ethyl]-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione (TPF-32), and 5-[(3-fluorophenyl)ethyl]-4-isopropyl-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione (TPF-38)], in one fixed dose of 300 mg/kg, were used. All the tested compounds were suspended in a 1% aqueous solution of Tween 80 (Sigma, Poznań, Poland) and administered intraperitoneally (i.p.) in a volume of 0.01 ml/g of body weight. The compounds were administered at four pretreatment times: 15, 30, 60 and 120 min. before the measurement of the antinociceptive effects in the hot-plate test. These pretreatment times were chosen based upon information about their biological activity from the literature and previous studies by the authors of this study[18].

**Hot-plate test.** To detect the antinociceptive effects of the tested 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives with respect to acute thermal nociception, the hot-plate test in mice was used, as described elsewhere [28, 30–33]. The apparatus consisted of an electrically-heated surface and an open Plexiglas tube (17 cm high x 22 cm diameter) to confine the mice to the heated surface (Ugo Basile, Varese, Italy). Each mouse was placed separately on the heated surface of the hot-plate test [28, 31–33, 35]. It has also been documented that some 4-substituted derivatives of 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione should produce antinociceptive effects in the hot-plate test, because their MPAE ranges from 2.76% – 6.71% (for TPB-4) and 1.42% to 3.23% (for TPF-38), respectively (Fig. 1B, 1D). The time to peak of the anticonvulsant effects for both agents (TPB-4 and TPF-38) was observed at 15 min. after drug administration (Fig. 1C).

**RESULTS**

Effects of four various 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives on the antinociception in the hot-plate test in mice. TPB-2 administered i.p. in a constant dose of 300 mg/kg, at various pretreatment times before the acute thermal pain test, exerted an antinociceptive effect in mice, and the experimentally-derived MPAE ranged from 18.54% – 35.43% (Fig. 1A). The time to peak of the antinociceptive effect for TPB-2 was established at 30 and 60 min. after the drug i.p. administration. Similarly, the experimentally-derived values of MPAE for TPF-32 were between 13.50% and 37.05% (Fig. 1C) and the time to peak-effect was clearly observed at 15 min. after drug administration (Fig. 1C). In the case of TPF-4 and TPF-38, the tested compounds exerted weak antinociceptive effects in the hot-plate test, because their MPAE ranges from 2.76% – 6.71% (for TPB-4) and 1.42% to 3.23% (for TPF-38), respectively (Fig. 1B, 1D). The time to peak of the anticonvulsant effects for both agents (TPB-4 and TPF-38) was observed at 15 min. after their i.p. administration (Fig.1B,1D).

**DISCUSSION**

The results obtained in this study confirmed the authors’ hypothesis that some 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives possess the antinociceptive properties in the hot-plate test in mice. Evaluation of MPAE in animals receiving the tested compounds allowed determination not only of the antinociceptive effects of the compounds in in vivo model of acute thermal pain, but also the time to peak of the antinociceptive effects in the animals. In this screening test, four 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives were selected and, by comparing their chemical structure, it was evident that some structural modifications significantly affected and changed the antinociceptive properties of the tested agents, especially, if one compared TPF-32 with TPF-38 (active vs. virtually inactive compound). The most effective compounds exerting strong antinociceptive effects in this study were those containing 4-(n-buthyl)- substituent (TPB-2 and TPF-32).

On the contrary, neither 4-(n-hexyl)- substituent in TPB-4, nor 4-isopropyl- substituent in TPF-38 exerted firmly defined antinociceptive effects in the hot-plate test in mice. It seems that compounds containing 4-(n-buthyl)- structure incorporated into the core of 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione should produce antinociceptive effects. However, this hypothesis should be verified in further experimental studies in various nociceptive models in mice.

The results also confirmed a general hypothesis that an agent possessing the anticonvulsant properties can also produce antinociceptive effects in experimental animals. Previously, it has been documented that tiagabine, gabapentin, pregabaline, and vigabatrin (the second- and third-generation AEDs) exerted antinociceptive properties and prolong the time to the first pain reaction in animals exposed to the heated surface of the hot-plate test [28, 31–33, 35]. It has also been documented that some 4-substituted derivatives of 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(morpholin-4-ylmethyl)-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione, including 2,4-dichlorophenyl- (T-100); 4-chloro-(3-trifluoromethyl)-phenyl- (T-102); 3,4-dichlorophenyl- (T-103); 3-chlorophenyl- (T-104);...
and 4-bromophenyl- (T-101), exerted the antinociceptive effects in the hot-plate test in mice [29]. It was also observed that the time to peak of the anticonvulsant effects for the five derivatives was established at 60 min. after their i.p. administration [29]. The hot-plate test is commonly used experimentally, especially, when one can screen various compounds to discover whether or not these compounds exerted antinociception in mice [36, 37].

**Limitations of the study**: The main limitation in this study was the small number of tested mice in each experimental group. In this screening test, only four mice per group were used, and during evaluation of the antinociceptive effects, four various pretreatment times (15, 30, 60 and 120 min.) were applied. This unique approach, on the one hand, allowed determination of the time to peak of the antinociception, but on the other hand, the S.E.M. values of MPAE were high, and resulted from the range diversity of the four values obtained from the four mice in each group.

The screening test was conducted in a specific manner because the same animals were tested twice, i.e., before administration of the 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione derivatives (pre-test), and at the respective pretreatment times after i.p. injection of the tested compounds (post-test). This experimental paradigm eliminated the control (naïve) animals in order not to expose the animals to unnecessary pain and suffering, which is in agreement with the 3R rules (Replacement, Reduction, Refinement) when conducting experiments on animals [38].

**CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, TPB-2 and TPF-32 produced the antinociceptive effects in mice with the peak of the antinociceptive effects established at 30 min. and 15 min. after drug administration, respectively. Although the antinociceptive effects of TPB-4 and TPF-38 were observed in the hot-plate test, their antinociceptive strength (power) was insufficient to classify them as antinociceptive agents. This was the reason that both TPB-4 and TPF-38 were considered as virtually inactive in the hot-plate test in mice. The screening of various novel compounds in the hot-plate test allowed the selection of the most active compounds offering antinociception in experimental animals. If the results from this screening test were to be translated to clinical settings, TPB-2 and TPF-32 might be favourable for pain relief in patients.
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