Influence of phototherapy on sensorimotor excitability of healthy muscle
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Abstract

The aim of this study is to assess the influence of laser irradiation and incoherent infrared radiation on sensorimotor excitability and muscle pain after a workout. The research was carried out on 40 healthy volunteers who were randomly divided into four groups. A single phototherapy treatment, preceded by the triceps calf muscle workout, was applied in all the groups. Group 1: low-level laser therapy (LLLT), group 2: high-intensity laser therapy (HILT), group 3: infrared radiation (IR), group 4: placebo was applied. The electrodiagnostic examination was performed on the first day, before a workout and irradiation, as well as 48 hours after the workout. Moreover, pain intensity assessment (VAS) was performed 24 and 48 hours after workouts. A statistically significant increase in the average threshold limit value of accommodation after a workout was observed in the IR group, in contrast to the HILT group, in which a decrease in the average threshold limit value of accommodation was observed. In the IR and LLLT groups, the value of rheobase increased. A statistically significant decrease in pain was observed in the LLLT and IR groups. The post-exercise irradiation with LLLT and incoherent IR influenced a decrease in sensorimotor excitability and decrease in pain of the muscle after a workout.
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INTRODUCTION

Delayed onset muscle soreness (DOMS) can also be defined as exercise-induced muscle damage (EIMD). It affects people with a low level of fitness who start an intense workout, it can also occur in athletes after excessive exercise [1, 2]. There may be various symptoms associated with DOMS; ranging from slight tenderness of muscles to intense muscular pain, which significantly impedes daily functioning. Pain and stiffness occur 8 – 24 hours after exercise, and peak from 24 – 48 hours after exercise [3]. According to Halski, changes in the muscle excitability can be a symptom of muscle fatigue [4]. Various physical factors are useful in eliminating the above symptoms. Thermotherapy and phototherapy are commonly used to relax muscles, reduce myoneural conduction and obtain analgesic effects [5]. Heat was one of the first factors used for the therapeutic purposes [6]. It causes immediate pain relief and improves blood circulation; thus, it accelerates the healing process of the damaged tissue [7, 8]. Calcium channels, among others, are involved in the reconstructive processes [9]. The channels respond to the heat by increasing the amount of intracellular calcium, which results in the stimulation of the sensory nerves and the feeling of warmth [10]. In a short time, nitric oxide is produced in endothelial cells and is responsible for maintaining the heat circulation. This increase in circulation is considered to be an indispensable factor for tissue protection against heat and repair of the damaged tissues [11]. The heat influence on the nitric oxide metabolism provides an analgesic effect [12]. Specific properties of laser irradiation allow the obtaining of relevant biophysical effects in the tissue, which are reflected in different levels of the organism functions [13]. Numerous clinical studies have confirmed the usefulness of laser therapy in reducing the symptoms of muscle fatigue [14]. Warm compresses were very useful in the prevention and treatment of an early phase of DOMS [7]. However, Hausswirth et al. showed in their study that systemic cryotherapy applied after a workout was more effective than infrared ray heat therapy (FIR) [15]. According to the studies carried out by Higashi, there were no significant differences between the low-level laser irradiation group (808 nm wavelength, 100 mW, power density 35.7 W/cm², 70 sec/point 7/J/point) and the control group, both in the number of muscle cramps and the lactate concentration [16].

OBJECTIVE

To assess the influence of laser irradiation and incoherent infrared radiation on sensorimotor excitability and selected muscle pain after a workout.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Permission to conduct the research was obtained from the Bioethics Commission (Resolution of 13 December 2012). The research was carried out on 40 healthy volunteers (29 women, 11 men), aged 20–21, who have given their written consent to participate in the studyh. The criteria for exclusion were the following: complaints from the cardiorespiratory system, taking photosensitizing agents, the presence of pigmented lesions in the irradiated area, disorders in the sensory system, past injuries in the lower extremities, and participation in strenuous workouts. The participants of the study were randomly divided into four groups. A single phototherapy treatment, preceded by the triceps calf muscle workout, was applied in all the groups. Group 1: low-level laser therapy (LLLT), group 2: high-intensity laser therapy (HILT), group 3: infrared radiation (IR), group 4: placebo was applied. The electrodiagnostic examination was performed on the first day, before a workout and irradiation, as well as 48 hours after the workout. Moreover, pain intensity assessment (VAS) was performed 24 and 48 hours after workouts. A statistically significant increase in the average threshold limit value of accommodation after a workout was observed in the IR group, in contrast to the HILT group, in which a decrease in the average threshold limit value of accommodation was observed. In the IR and LLLT groups, the value of rheobase increased. A statistically significant decrease in pain was observed in the LLLT and IR groups. The post-exercise irradiation with LLLT and incoherent IR influenced a decrease in sensorimotor excitability and decrease in pain of the muscle after a workout.
groups. The triceps calf muscle workout was carried out in all the groups (muscle cramps caused by calf raising in 4 workout series, 30 repetitions). Immediately after training, a single phototherapy treatment was applied to all the participants. A post-workout low-level laser therapy (LLLT) was applied in the first group, high-intensity laser therapy (HILT) in the second group, and incoherent infrared radiation (IR) in the third group. A post-workout placebo irradiation was applied in the fourth group.

Low-level laser radiation was emitted by the semiconductor laser CTL 1106 M (810 nm) with the maximum power of 500 mW. Power of the radiations, performed continuously, was 250 mW, power density – 0.15 mW/cm² (for the irradiated area of 1,600 cm²). The applied energy density was 0.2 J/cm², and total energy of the treatment, depending on the size of the irradiated area, reached a maximum of 300 J.

In high-energy irradiations, laser irradiation was applied, emitted by a HIRO 1.0 laser, with an active medium (semiconductor) Nd:YAG (1064 nm), peak power of a single pulse 1 kW and the pulse duration in the range of 120–150 microseconds. The irradiations were performed with an average power of 6W, with applied energy density of 2 J/cm² (for the irradiated area of about 1,600 cm²), and the total energy of the treatment, depending on the size of the irradiated area, reached a maximum of 300 J.

The basis for the calculation of the applied energy density was the size of the irradiated area, calculated individually for each examined person, taking into account the absolute length of the lower leg (calf) and its circumference. The preliminary approximate measurements indicated that the size of the irradiated area in this age group was in the range of 1,500 – 1,600 cm². Irradiations in the first group and the second group were performed using the contact sweeping method in accordance with the laser methodology.

In the third group, the irradiations were performed with a Sollux lamp with a red filter which emitted incoherent short-wave infrared radiation (with wavelengths from 770–1,400 nanometers), as well as visible red radiation.

Muscle examination included the neuromuscular excitability assessment with an application of the traditional electrodiagnostic method. The examination was performed twice: before a workout and irradiation, as well as 48 hours after the workout. Non-exertional and exertional pain intensity assessment by the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) was performed 24 and 48 hours after workouts.

The results were analysed by the ANOVA (analysis of variance test) and the Kruskal-Wallis test in order to assess significant differences among the examined groups. The t-test for dependent samples and the Wilcoxon test were used to assess the significance of changes between the first and second examinations.

## RESULTS

Statistically significant differences in the level of rheobase before a workout were not observed among the compared groups. In the LLLT and IR groups, the results were similar to the statistically significant result (Fig. 1). In the LLLT and IR groups, the rheobase values were higher (Table 1).

A Statistically significant change in the threshold limit value of accommodation after a workout was observed only in the IR group (p = 0.05) (Fig. 2). An increase in the threshold limit value of accommodation in the second examination was observed in this group (Tab. 2).

![Figure 1](image1.png) Changes in rheobase values in examined groups

![Figure 2](image2.png) Changes in the threshold limit value of accommodation in examined groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied therapy</th>
<th>Before workout</th>
<th>After workout</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LLLT</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>11.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HILT</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>13.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.0 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLACEBO</td>
<td>12.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>13.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>11.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>12.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied therapy</th>
<th>Before workout</th>
<th>After workout</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LLLT</td>
<td>29.9 ± 9.1</td>
<td>33.8 ± 4.5</td>
<td>3.9 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HILT</td>
<td>32.7 ± 8.5</td>
<td>35.8 ± 4.3</td>
<td>3.1 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLACEBO</td>
<td>34.3 ± 4.3</td>
<td>35.3 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>27.6 ± 6.2</td>
<td>34.4 ± 11.7</td>
<td>6.8 ± 9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to assess differences concerning the intensity of pain on rest among the examined groups, the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used. Analysis of the significance of changes in the level of pain between the first and second examination was performed using the non-parametric Wilcoxon test. The changes between the examinations were
The results of exertional pain intensity were similar to the results for the non-exertional pain (p < 0.01) (Fig. 3). Results of the Wilcoxon test indicated a statistically significant increase in the level of pain in the HILT and PLACEBO groups (Fig. 3). Two other groups showed a reduction in the level of pain, although it was not statistically significant (Tab. 4).

Table 3. Changes in non-exertional pain level in examined groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied therapy</th>
<th>Non-exertional pain intensity</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before workout</td>
<td>Post-workout</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLLT</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HILT</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLACEBO</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effectiveness of dry heat and moist heat was compared in the studies on the DOMS syndrome. The most effective in the reduction of pain was the treatment which used warm, wet compresses [19]. Another study showed that cold, as well as hot and cold contrast hydrotherapeutic treatment, were effective in regaining isometric and dynamic strength as well as in reducing a local oedema of the tired muscle [20].

Leal et al. showed that LED irradiations (660/850 nm, 10/30 mW, 30 sec/point, 10 points, total energy 41.7 J) 5 minutes after exercise were more effective in the process of muscle regeneration than an immersion in cold water. A statistically significant change in the lactate concentration and creatine kinase could only be observed after irradiations [21].

Figure 3. Change in exertional pain level in examined groups

Table 4. Changes in values of exertional pain before workout and after workout. Average values of pain level in I and II examinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied therapy</th>
<th>Exertional pain intensity</th>
<th>24 hours after Workout</th>
<th>48 hours after workout</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLLT</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HILT</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLACEBO</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The study performed focused on the influence of selected methods of phototherapy on the sensorimotor excitability and level of muscle’s pain after workout. Changes in the excitability occurred in the course of the DOMS syndrome. Many studies on the mechanisms, therapeutic activities, and preventive strategies concerning the delayed onset muscle soreness after exercise have been published in contemporary literature. The studies show differences in the measurement tools used and methods of assessment of the existing symptoms [16, 17, 18]. Various therapeutic factors have been used so far in experiments on the reduction of the symptoms of DOMS.

The effectiveness of dry heat and moist heat was compared in the studies on the DOMS syndrome. The most effective in the reduction of pain was the treatment which used warm, wet compresses [19]. Another study showed that cold, as well as hot and cold contrast hydrotherapeutic treatment, were effective in regaining isometric and dynamic strength as well as in reducing a local oedema of the tired muscle [20].

Leal et al. showed that LED irradiations (660/850 nm, 10/30 mW, 30 sec/point, 10 points, total energy 41.7 J) 5 minutes after exercise were more effective in the process of muscle regeneration than an immersion in cold water. A statistically significant change in the lactate concentration and creatine kinase could only be observed after irradiations [21].

Impulse conduction velocity in the nerve fibres is dependent on the nerve temperature. The studies carried out by Chatfield et al. [22] and Collins et al. [23] reveal that nerve conduction velocity is reduced by an average of 2 m/s with decreasing temperature of the nerve with every 1 degree of Celsius. According to Brederson, however, the heat can reduce pain by limitation of the nerve conduction [24]. In an experimental group, in which LED irradiations of the biceps muscle were applied (LED having a wavelength of 880 nm and visible LEDs 660 nm, 8 J/cm²), the pain connected with DOMS was much smaller than in the control group or the placebo therapy group [25]. Kakihata et al. attempted to investigate the effects of low intensity laser (660nm), on the surae triceps muscle fatigue and power during vertical jump in sedentary individuals. Volunteers were divided into three groups: 1) without performing low intensity laser (control); 2) 6 days of low intensity laser applications; and 3) 10 days of low intensity laser applications. The low intensity laser had no significant effects on the variables evaluated [26].

In contrast, the study by Toma et al. aimed to investigate the effects of LLLT on skeletal muscle fatigue in elderly women. Twenty-four subjects divided into 2 groups entered a crossover randomized triple-blinded placebo-controlled trial. Active LLLT (808 nm wavelength, 100 mW, energy 7 J) or an identical placebo LLLT was delivered on the rectus femoris muscle immediately before a fatigue protocol. A significant difference was observed in the number of repetitions between groups after active LLLT, subjects demonstrated significantly higher number of repetitions [27].

Studies carried out by Felismino et al. showed that after low-level laser irradiation (808 nm; 100 mW; 1 J / irradiated point for 10 s at 4 points of the biceps muscle of each limb) 72 hours after the muscle workout the creatine kinase activity was weakened, compared with the control group. The irradiations did not have a positive impact on the return of muscle strength [17]. Leal-Junior et al. performed a systematic review with meta-analysis to investigate the effects of phototherapy applied before, during and after exercises. The most significant and consistent results were found with red or infrared wavelengths and phototherapy application before exercises, power outputs between 50 – 200 mW and doses of 5 and 6 J per point (spot). The authors concluded that phototherapy (with lasers and LEDs) improves muscular performance and accelerates recovery, mainly when applied before exercise [28]. The aim of study by Antonialli et al. was...
to evaluate the effects of phototherapy with the combination of different light sources on skeletal muscle performance and post-exercise recovery, and to establish the optimal energy dose. Pre-exercise phototherapy with the combination of low-level laser and LEDs, mainly with a 30 J dose, significantly increased performance, decreased DOMS, and improves biochemical marker related to skeletal muscle damage [29]. Weres et al. in their research showed that a dose 0.2 J/cm² was more effective than the placebo effect. A single phototherapy treatment as a factor stimulating metabolic processes in the tissue, and at the same time reducing the symptoms of fatigue, was applied in the research carried out by the author of this publication. The post-workout decrease in the rheobase value was observed only in the high-intensity laser therapy group (HILT). In the other groups, the value was increased [30]. According to Pisula et al., the rheobase value increase can be viewed in terms of an increase in stabilization of the polarization in the nerve, and thus greater resistance to external and internal factors [31]. An increase in the threshold limit value of accommodation can also be viewed in the aspect of the resistance improvement to exogenous and endogenous factors. The studies by the author of the presented research show an increase in the threshold limit value of accommodation in all groups, with the exception of the HILT group. The largest increase in this value was observed in the IR group. The observed changes could indicate that phototherapy which uses LLLT and IR causes a decrease in the neuromuscular excitability, and thus reduces the sensitivity to painful stimuli. Also, according to Łukowicz et al., an increase in the sensory excitability threshold after laser irradiation can be interpreted as the analgesic effect of this therapy [14]. Such inference was confirmed in the results of research concerning pain intensity carried out by the author of the current study. Changes in the intensity of pain point to the accelerated rate of the tissue regeneration after low-level laser irradiation. A statistically significant decrease pain in rest level was observed in the LLLT group between the first and second examination. In the other groups, the level of pain did not change, although on the basis of the result obtained for the PLACEBO group, it may be presumed that with the larger size of the group a significant change in the pain level might be observed, although an adverse one. The results of the exertional pain are similar to the results obtained for the pain on rest, although they were more pronounced. Differences among the examined groups in the range of obtained irradiation effects were statistically highly significant. There was quite a significant increase in the level of pain in the HILT and PLACEBO groups in the second examination (p<0.05), while the other groups showed a reduction in the level of pain, but this was not significant.

The conducted research project points to the usefulness in reducing the DOMS symptoms by the methods of phototherapy which do not provide deep heating to the muscle tissue. Low-level laser therapy (LLLT) and infrared radiation (IR), which are characterised by a much smaller penetration depth, are more useful than the HILT radiation. Slower post-workout resolution of pain in the HILT group may have been caused by the thermal effect which is connected with this therapy (Zalewski et al., 2008). This effect occurs when medium and high power density is used, and thus constitutes a limitation to the use of the high intensity laser therapy (HILT) for recent injuries and acute inflammations [14, 32].

Further clinical research into the use of thermal and non-thermal methods of phototherapy which boost the regression of symptoms of the delayed onset muscle soreness is indispensable, so that the methods of phototherapy could be recognised as adjunctive factors with indisputable effectiveness in the muscle workout.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Post-exercise irradiation of the triceps calf muscle with a low-level laser therapy (LLLT) and incoherent infrared radiation influence a decrease in sensorimotor excitability of the muscle after a workout.
2. Low-level laser therapy and polychromatic/incoherent infrared radiation accelerate the post-workout resolution of pain.
3. The effectiveness of the high-intensity laser therapy HILT in eliminating the symptoms of delayed onset muscle soreness was not confirmed.
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