Endodontic treatment of immature tooth – a challenge
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Abstract

Root apex is an area of primary importance for an endodontist. When a tooth erupts in the oral cavity the apical foramen undergoes anatomical changes with age. Root development is completed and the root apex is closed three years after eruption of the tooth. Endodontic practice is influenced to a great extent by different stages of root development and the type of tissue present within the roots. Open apices pose a challenging problem for the success of root canal treatment because they favour the extravasation of the irrigating solution and/or sealer into periradicular tissues, thus jeopardizing apical healing. Regenerative endodontics (revascularization/pulpal regeneration) is a straightforward approach accomplished with currently available instruments and materials. A literature review suggests that Mineral Tri oxide Aggregate (MTA) is the most favourable and recommendable material for single-visit apexification, even in cases when regenerative endodontics cannot be performed. A plus point of MTA is its biocompatibility and hermetic apical seal with no micro-leakage. It should be considered as an effective alternate for treating immature teeth with necrotic pulps. Treatment of a tooth with open apex poses multiple challenges. However, it is not easy to decide which material should be used: Calcium hydroxide, Mineral Tri oxide Aggregate, Biodentine, Platelet Rich Fibrin (PRF), or White Portland Cement (WPC). The choice of treatment regimen depends on several factors, e.g. individual cases, experience of the operator, familiarity with handling various materials, and patient’s availability for follow-up appointments. This review highlights various challenges in the treatment of open apex, with emphasis on MTA compared with other materials.
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INTRODUCTION

When a tooth erupts in the oral cavity the apical foramen undergoes anatomical changes, along with age, while root formation is still incomplete. The root development is completed with apical closure three years after tooth eruption [1]. Injury or trauma before the apical closure interferes with root development. Other cases of open apex are found after pulp necrosis due to caries and periapical lesion with root end resorption. Even hand files, rotary files and lack of control of working length are also factors responsible for an open apex. Endodontic treatment of a non-vital immature tooth remains complicated due to the large open apex [1, 2].

OBJECTIVES

The aim of the study is to investigate various challenging factors affecting the treatment of open root apex, different treatment strategies indicated for open root apex, and to perform comparative analysis of MTA with different materials.

Challenging factors affecting the treatment of open root apex. Dental trauma is considered a multifactorial health problem worldwide that frequently requires multidisciplinary treatment planning to improve the quality of life of a patient. An immature root with a necrotic pulp and apical periodontitis presents multiple challenges to successful treatment. These challenges are:

- Susceptibility to fracture. Immature apical formation is a challenging factor as large open apices with thin and divergent dentinal walls are prone to fracture.
- Difficulty in complete disinfection and debridement of the root canal. Open apex poses difficulty in disinfection of the root canal. The infected root canal space cannot be disinfected with the standard root canal protocol using endodontic files aggressively as the coronal diameter of canal is often smaller than apical diameter [1, 3].
- Difficulty in obturation. Since there is no closure of the apex, formation of mineralized tissue in the apex becomes imperative for an apical seal in order that obturating material can be adapted 3-dimensionally in the root canal. The main purpose of endodontic treatment is to accomplish obturation of the root canal space completely and prevention of re-infection. Once the microbial phase of the treatment is completed, open apex do not provide an apical stop, thus allowing the root filling material to impinge the periodontal tissues making obturation difficult [4, 5].
TREATMENT STRATEGIES FOR AN OPEN ROOT APEX

The success of an endodontic treatment depends on whether or not there exist an ideal apical barrier so that apical canal space between periodontium and the root canal system is filled. The endodontic treatment of non-vital immature anterior teeth after trauma presents several complications because of large open apices, necrotic pulp tissue, thin dentinal walls, divergent root walls and frequent periapical lesions. For the treatment of teeth with open apex to be successful it is essential to be acquainted with the compatibility of the material being used, its response physiologically as well as histologically during and after use. For the long term success of endodontic treatment, complete disinfection by means of biomechanical preparation and 3-dimensional obturation of the root canal is required. Definitive success in such a case would require a ‘closed apex’ which can be achieved via apexification by placing a biologically-active and biocompatible material that would aid the development of an incompletely developed root apex [6].

COMMONLY EMPLOYED TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Apexogenesis. Applied in the case of vital teeth apexogenesis (vital pulp treatment) is required to promote continued physiological development; completion of the root end.

Apexification. Indicated in the case of permanent tooth presenting non-vital pulp, as well as an open apex where it results in apical repair as a hard tissue seal across an open root apex. According to the American Association of Endodontists’ Glossary of endodontic terms, apexification is defined as ‘a method of inducing a calcified barrier in a root with an open apex or the continued apical development of an incompletely formed root in teeth with necrotic pulp.’ When necrosis of the pulp occurs due to trauma and dental caries, then the treatment of choice should be apexification. Apexification induces apical closure via formation of mineralized tissue (bone, osteodentin or osteocementum or combination of all) in the apical pulp area of a non-vital incompletely formed tooth [3, 4, 6].

VARIOUS MATERIALS USED FOR APEXIFICATION

Calcium hydroxide. Calcium hydroxide or its combination with other materials is the most frequently indicated material of choice for apexification. Apexification with calcium hydroxide involves multiple visits lasting for a period of 6 months to 2 years, until the root apex is closed. In one case report [7], Calcium hydroxide and Iodoform paste, i.e. Metapex (Meta Biomed Co. Ltd, South Korea), was placed into the root canals with the help of plastic needles supplied by the manufacturer. After drying the canals with sterile paper points, obturation was performed using a material of choice, e.g. Gutta Percha with a cold lateral condensation technique. Post-obturation radiograph showed an apical calcific barrier on both root tips of affected tooth [7].

Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA). Mineral Trioxide Aggregate (MTA) shows an ability to seal a root canal system with superior biocompatibility for the repair of root perforations and pulpcapping [8]. It permits vertical compression of sealers and fillers in the rest of the root canal space [6].

MTA is a low soluble material capable of healing as well as sealing root canals. It attains a pH of 12.5 after setting, which is favourable for its antimicrobial property [3, 9]. In 1993, MTA was introduced by Mohmoud Taorabinejad at Loma Linda University, California, USA [10], followed by approval in 1998 by the US Food and Drug Administration for endodontic applications [11, 12]. MTA is available as grey (GMTA) and white MTA (WTMA). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and electron probe microanalysis characterized the differences between GMTA as well as WTMA, and proved that the major difference between the two depended on the concentrations of MgO, FeO and Al₂O₃ [13, 14]. For an hermetic seal to be obtained, a moist cotton pellet over MTA is required as it possess setting expansion. Obturation is performed 72 hours after MTA placement when it achieves a high compressive strength [15, 16, 17].

MTA on X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis showed the presence of a completely crystalline material containing tricalcium, dicalcium silicate and bismuth oxide [18, 19], whereas precise quantitative analysis proved it to be composed of tricalcium aluminate and calcium sulphate (in the hemi-hydrate and anhydrite form), in addition to tricalcium, dicalcium silicate [20].

Mechanism of action of MTA. Cells release lymphokines due to its osteoinductive activity for the repair & regeneration of cementum, which stimulates bio-remineralisation and the healing of bony defect in the periapical area. MTA induces interleukin (IL) by osteoblasts. Both types of MTA are capable of initiating osteogenic phenotype and promoting the production of osteopontin, osteoid, osteonectin, and elevate the level of alkaline phosphates. However, white MTA has a more inferior sealing property than grey due to more leakage (white – 36.4%; grey – 9.1%, after 42 days) [15, 21, 22, 23].

Biodentine. Biodentine is a novel calcium silicate-based cement and a bioactive dentin substitute indicated for the repair of root perforation, apexification and retrograde root canal filling. It is available as powder in a capsule, and as a liquid in a pipette. The powder consists of dicalcium silicate, calcium carbonate and tricalcium silicate, which are the main and secondary core materials; other ingredients present are iron oxide shade, zirconium oxide and oxide filler. Zirconium oxide gives radiopacity. The liquid contains calcium chloride as an accelerator and a hydrosoluble polymer as a water reducing agent. Apexification with Biodentine requires significantly less treatment time between the patient’s first visit and the final restoration. It has superior sealing ability, is biocompatible, and less cytotoxic in comparison to other materials currently being used in pulpal therapy [4]. When compared with other materials, such as glass ionomer cement and MTA, Biodentine exhibits the least microleakage [24].

Mechanism of action of Biodentine. Biodentine activates pulp progenitor cells leading to odontoblast differentiation and regeneration of dentine in human tooth cultures [25]. It has been found that Biodentine results in a significant increase of TGF-β1 secretion from pulp cells, possessing an early mineralization of dental pulp shortly after its application [26]. For treatment to be successful, cleaning and shaping
of the root canal is required, followed by apical seal with a favourable regenerating material [4].

**White Portland Cement (WPC).** White Portland Cement (WPC) is almost identical to MTA from the microscopical and macroscopical point of view. Under X-ray diffraction it possess same antimicrobial, physical, chemical and biological properties and with similar outcomes during in vivo and in vitro studies [27, 28, 29]. WPC does not contain bismuth oxide but contains potassium. The arsenic release from both materials is in the range of 0.002–0.007 ppm, which is lower than that of drinking water [30, 31]. In dogs and pig, both materials have shown effective pulpotomies as well as hard tissue formation [32, 33, 34, 35, 36].

**Composition of White Portland Cement (WPC).** WPC has 2 main constituents: tricalcium silicate and dicalcium silicate, as well as other constituents, e.g. 20% silica, 65% lime 10% ferric oxide, aluminium and 5% other compounds [37]. Modified WPC has been found to seal an open apex. After 3–6 months follow-up, clinical symptoms and peri-apical rarefactions disappeared [38].

### REGENERATIVE ENDOODONTICS (REVASCULARIZATION/PULPAL REGENERATION)

Regenerative endodontics (revascularization/pulpal regeneration) is one of several exciting new developments in endodontics [39]. In 2012, the American Association of Endodontists defined regenerative endodontics as a ‘biologically-based procedure designed to physiologically replace damaged tooth structures, i.e. dentin and root structures, as well as cells of the pulp-dentin complex’ [39].

The regenerative endodontic protocols are:

(a) root canal system disinfection without damaging the endogenous stem cell from apical papilla (SCAP) and other tissues;

(b) provision of a scaffold, which involves laceration of the periapical tissue to induce a blood clot and introduce stem cell activity, followed by placement of an intracanal barrier to prevent microleakage;

(c) an adequacy of coronal seal.

Regenerative endodontics is based on the biological concept of stem cells harmony, scaffold and signalling molecules [5].

In the era of research and innovation, research is a human activity which utilizes intellect to investigate, understand and modify knowledge for the diverse aspects of the world [40, 41]. In search data bases, inventions related to dental surgery, and more particularly to diseased pulp tissue repair, there are specifications describing technologies related to the repair and regeneration of damaged or diseased human pulp tissue. Implementations of the technology described are comprised of removal of diseased pulp tissue from a tooth, followed by disinfection and implantation with collagen matrix coupled with calcium phosphate mineral composite material [42, 43].

**Indications of regenerative endodontics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In young, cooperative patients aged 8–16, immature infected teeth, teeth with minimal periapical pathology and necrotic pulp [5, 44]</td>
<td>When the patient is not allergic to antibiotics and medicaments used in treatment in cases where pulp space is not required for post/core and final restoration [44].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Injectable PRF (i-PRF).** An ideal alternative treatment for an immature necrotic tooth is the regeneration of tissue resembling pulp, and having the capability to boost normal root development. The action of i-PRF (Injectable platelet-rich fibrin) is based on the similar concept of PRF, but it is available in an injectable form. i-PRF has great potential in the field of endodontics. It was first developed in 2014 by introducing modifications in the centrifugation parameters,
Table 2. Limitations of regenerative endodontics [47–48]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is potential risk of necrosis, if there is tissue reinforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In full-developed permanent teeth it is not easy to achieve this treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causes crown discoloration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term use of antimicrobial agents can lead to development of resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bacterial strains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are chances of allergic reaction to intracanal medicament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the case of older patient, there is unpredictability for the concentration and composition of the progenitor/stem cells entrapped in the fibrin clot, resulting in discrepancies in the results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is a supplemental treatment to already existing treatment protocols, such as apexogenesis, apexification or partial pulpotomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i.e. 700 rpm for 3–5 min [49, 50]. It works on the Low Speed Centrifugation Concept (LSSC) of Choukron. This is a flowable, natural blood concentrate with ease of access and flow within the root canal. It coagulates a few minutes after injection. At present the treatment is still in its infancy and needs to be explored with regard to its regenerative efficacy. It contains platelets, leukocytes, stem cells and endothelial cells [49–50]. i-PRF is being studied for its regenerative potential and release of growth factors because of the slower and shorter centrifugation spin. It releases several biomarkers, such as interleukin-1 (IL-1), IL-6, IL-4, tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF alpha), transforming growth factor β1 (TGF β1), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), platelet derived growth factors (PDG), and insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) which are required for the regeneration of periradicular tissues, especially in open apex cases. i-PRF forms a clot of small size because of its fibrin components which behave as dynamic gel-containing cells and releases additional growth factors, even 10 days after application. This property of i-PRF helps in the regeneration and fast healing of periradicular tissues [49, 50].

Platelet rich plasma (PRP). Platelet rich plasma (PRP) is used to increase the speed of soft and hard tissue healing. PRP provokes proliferation of tooth pulp cells and elevates alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity. The collection of blood sample from children is somewhat problematic. For PRP preparation, the blood samples are collected into 10 mL tubes containing an acid-citrate-dextrose solution. Citrated blood is centrifuged for 15 min at 3,000 rpm. The lower red blood cell portion is discarded after the first spin and the supernatant is again centrifuged for 5 min at 3,000 rpm. The resulting thrombocyte pellet constitutes the PRP [51, 52].

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF POPULAR MTA WITH OTHER MATERIAL IN APEXIFICATION

MTA and Calcium Hydroxide. Calcium Hydroxide has no adverse periapical reaction and is therefore commonly used for apexification. It can be mixed with several materials, e.g. anesthetic solutions, distilled water, camphorated monochlorophenol, saline, and chlorhexidine to promote apical closure [53]. In comparison to calcium hydroxide, MTA is expensive and does not reinforce root canal dentine [54, 55]. According to a literature review, both materials have been found to be similar in clinical and radiographic trials. MTA showed a significantly shorter treatment time than calcium hydroxide to obtain apical barrier formation, increasing patient compliance and a higher overall success rate [56, 57].

MTA and Biodentine. Biodentine is a calcium silicate-based cement having physical and chemical characteristics analogous to certain Portland cement derivatives [4]. It has several advantages over MTA, Glass Ionomer cement (GIC), etc., in the treatment of teeth with open apices [24]. The 24-h push-out strength of Biodentine was found to be higher than MTA [58].

MTA and White Portland cement (WPC). MTA is almost 1,000 times more expensive than WPS. WPS available as a cheaper substitute for MTA is thought to be beneficial for developing countries [37, 59]. In vivo and in vitro studies have shown that both MTA and WPC have comparative bio-compatibility [59]. One study has also demonstrated that MTA and WPC have no cytotoxicity [59], and healing was observed within a short span of 3 months [37].

MTA and Lyophilized collagen sponge apical stop. Due to the high alkalinity of MTA, there exists a risk of necrosis if it comes into direct contact with apical tissue; for instance, after accidental apical over-filling. In such a case, an apical barrier with a lyophilized collagen sponge and MTA cement allows a single visit for root canal filling, in a safe and non-invasive manner. After apical condensation of lyophilized collagen, the presence of apical barrier is confirmed by an endodontic file permitting the safe placement of the MTA apical barrier with approximately 4 mm thickness. It promotes complete alveolar bone healing in 24 days, with the presence of trabecular bone, a large amount of blood vessels and fibroblasts [60]. Lyophilized collagen is obtained from animal skin (Gelfoam). After placement of the lyophilized collagen sponge in the radicular apical third, healing of the periapical lesion occurs in similar way to that in the alveolar bone socket [60].

MTA and Platelet Rich Fibrin (PRF) membrane. Platelet-rich Fibrin (PRF) is a matrix of platelet and leukocyte cytokines embedded in autologous fibrin and used as an apical membrane [61] (Fig. 1).

A non-surgical treatment in the case of single visit apexification using combination of MTA and PRF membrane as a matrix, has proved to be an effective treatment option for
generating root-end barriers and inducing rapid periapical healing in symptomatic teeth with immature apices, as well as in large periapical lesions. PRF membrane maintains and protects the grafted biomaterial, and fragments itself to serve as biological connectors between bone particles. It facilitates neo-angiogenesis, vascularization and graft survival. It also accelerates the healing of wound edges preventing the extrusion of the material into the periodontal tissues, allowing a favourable response by periodontal tissues [61].

Mechanism of action. It creates healing via platelet cytokines (PDGF, TGF-IGF-1), and the presence of leukocytes, cytokines in the fibrin network self-regulates inflammation, and infection within the grafted material [61]. Different materials used in open apex treatment, their applications, advantages and disadvantages are listed in Table 3.

### Table 3. Different materials used for open apex treatment with their applications, advantages and disadvantages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Therapeutic Application/Indications</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium hydroxide</td>
<td>indicated in necrotic teeth with open apices stimulates hard tissue deposition at the apex presence of high calcium concentration increases the activity of calcium dependent pyrophosphate high pH activate alkaline phosphatase activity needs patient compliance</td>
<td>antibacterial properties enhanced success rate easy availability for the clinician affordability for patients direct effect on the apical and periapical soft-tissue</td>
<td>need for multiple visits long treatment period (6-24 months) increased chances of re-infection due to loss of temporary restoration porous barrier undermines mechanical strength of dentin</td>
<td>[6, 7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Trioxide Aggregate (MTA)</td>
<td>form the seal at the root end in case of necrotic pulps with open apex capacity to induce a hard tissue effect radio-opacity more than that of dentin</td>
<td>single visit apexification hydrophilic highly biocompatible bacteriostatic activity</td>
<td>low compressive strength long setting time costly difficulty in storage gingival and tooth discoloration</td>
<td>[3, 6, 8, 9, 10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodentine</td>
<td>indicated for the repair of root perforations, apexification for permanent dentine replacement, temporary enamel replacement pulp protection in deep carious lesions restoration of cervical and/or radicular lesions direct and indirect pulp capping pulpotomy, repair of internal and external resorption</td>
<td>requires significantly less time, favours regeneration reduced potential for fracture of immature teeth with thin roots user-friendly with shorter setting time</td>
<td>technique sensitive Sealing material if extruded periapically gets resorbed resulting in persistence of inflammatory process and prevent tissue repair</td>
<td>[4, 24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Portland Cement (WPC)</td>
<td>treatment of teeth with necrotic pulp and periapical lesion for single sitting apexification, can be used in moist environment</td>
<td>as a good apical seal in the wide open apex of an infected root canal healing in a short span of 3 months no cytotoxic effects cheaper</td>
<td>lower radio-opacity highly alkaline risk of necrosis exists if there is direct contact with the apical tissues</td>
<td>[27–31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyophilized collagen sponge</td>
<td>placement of an apical barrier of lyophilized collagen sponge with MTA avoids the risk of root canal contamination avoids the risk of radicular fracture</td>
<td>easy to handle biocompatible absorbable single visit, safe, non-invasive procedure tissue tolerant promotes healing in 24 days, presence of apical barrier confirmed by endodontic file</td>
<td>its insertion in the apical radicular third needs specific gutta-percha condenser/ endodontic file</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination of PRF membrane and MTA</td>
<td>apexification in cases with large periapical lesions in symptomatic teeth with immature apices</td>
<td>single visit nonsurgical procedure</td>
<td>Possible refusal of puncture required for blood collection by child patient</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regenerative endodontics</td>
<td>basic concept is to produce new tissues in place of necrotic pulp helps in increasing thickness increases length of root aids in apical closure of immature teeth</td>
<td>crown discoloration resistant bacterial strains allergic reaction to the intracanal medication</td>
<td></td>
<td>[5, 44–46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRF Injectable platelet-rich fibrin</td>
<td>for an immature necrotic tooth easy to prepare antibacterial effect minimally invasive approach reduced healing period</td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible refusal of puncture required for blood collection</td>
<td>[49–50]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSIONS**

A number of treatment options using several materials have been found but calcium hydroxide is the most widely accepted material (Tab. 3). However, its use is limited due to certain disadvantages. Moreover, due to geographical reasons, patient cannot pay multiple visits over an extended treatment plan, and attrition may also set in. If a child patient moves to another place during the treatment, the dressing will not be changed as needed until the formation of an apical barrier. Multiple clinical visits are even traumatizing for a child patient and appointments are also not easy to remember. Even the integrity of the apical barrier is not certain at the time of final obturation after hard tissue deposition by calcium hydroxide. Thus, there is a stringent need for a reliable single visit apexification.

In the literature, MTA has proved to be better than calcium hydroxide, and found to be most favourable for single-visit apical closure having several clinical applications in surgical
and non-surgical endodontics. The points in favour of MTA are its single-sitting use, biocompatibility and hermetic apical seal with no micro-leakage. It should therefore be considered as an effective alternative for treating immature teeth with necrotic pulp. On comparing MTA with other materials, it was found that apical barrier formation with MTA was obtained earlier than with calcium hydroxide, thus increasing patient compliance and the quality performance in terms of the time factor. Biodentine showed a higher 24-h push-out strength than MTA, showing better mechanical properties and least microleakage, but is technique sensitive. In India, considering the cost factor in the developing market, White Portland Cement (WPC) is cheaper than MTA for better performance. The high alkalinity of MTA poses a risk of necrosis if it comes into direct contact with apical tissues. In this case, a lyophilized collagen sponge acts as a protective apical barrier against the chemical behaviour of MTA.

Regenerative endodontics is an exciting new development in endodontics indicated in immature infected teeth and teeth with minimal periapical pathology. A non-surgical treatment using a combination of MTA and PRF membrane as a matrix has proved to be an effective treatment option for single visit root-end barrier and periapical healing. Simply expressed, treatment of a tooth with open apex faces multiple challenges. It is not a simple matter to decide which material should be used. The choice of treatment regimen depends on the individual case, the experience of the operator, as well as familiarity with handling the various materials available. Patient availability and compliance for follow-up appointments is a most crucial factor to be considered.
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